arXiv:1703.10825v1 [q-fin.PR] 31 Mar 2017

QUADRATIC APPROXIMATION OF SLOW FACTOR OF VOLATILITY IN A MULTI-FACTOR STOCHASTIC VOLATILITY MODEL

GIFTY MALHOTRA, R. SRIVASTAVA, AND H.C. TANEJA

Abstract. In the present work, we propose a new multifactor stochastic volatility model in which slow factor of volatility is approximated by a parabolic arc. We retain ourselves to the perturbation technique to obtain approximate expression for European option prices. We introduce the notion of modified Black-Scholes price. We obtain a simplified expression for European option price which is perturbed around the modified Black-Scholes price and have also obtained the expression of modified price in terms of Black-Scholes price.

Keywords: Multifactor stochastic volatility; Slow volatility factor; Quadratic approximation; Volatility model; Option pricing

AMS subject classifications: 34E13, 60H15, 60H30, 60J60, 91B70, 91G20, 91G80

1. Introduction

Stochastic volatility models are prominent in option valuation literature as they are able to coalesce many stylized facts about volatility namely volatility smile, mean reversion, volatility clustering etc.(eg. see Bakshi, Cao and Chen (1997), Bates (2000), Chernov and Ghysels (2000), Gatheral (2006)etc.). Single factor stochastic volatility models are being discussed in many papers(eg. see Hull and white (1987), Stein-Stein (1991), Heston (1993), Ball-Roma (1994) etc.). Volatility smile can be generated by single factor stochastic volatility models but its time varying nature remains unexplained by these models.
Christoffersen et al. (2009) strongly argued the need of multifactor stochastic volatility models to capture some of the most salient stylized facts in index options. They demonstrated the role of multiple factors in capturing term structure and moneyness effects.
Multifactor stochastic volatility models are much recent and very significant in option valuation literature. Alizadeh et al. (2002) found the evidence of two factors in volatility with one highly persistent factor and other quickly mean reverting factor. Extending this idea, Fouque

et al. (2003a) proposed a two factor stochastic volatility model with one fast mean reverting factor and another slowly varying factor. They have showed that slow varying volatility factor is essential for options with longer maturity and used perturbation analysis in context of pricing options. For more details one can refer Fouque et al. (2011). As persistence of volatility should be given importance and must be incorporated in any volatility model (Robert F Engle et al. (2001)) and knowing the fact that multifactor stochastic volatility models give better results for the options with medium-longer maturity (Fatone et al. (2009)), the slow factor of volatility, which is highly persistent, is important and its dynamics can not be ignored. Also in perturbation analysis given by Fouque et al. (2003a) the approximate option price depends upon slow factor of volatility but independent of fast factor. We propose a multifactor model to give importance to slow factor of volatility by taking it mean reverting and approximating it by a parabolic arc. Also, with this model we have derived the pricing formula for European call options. We have also introduced the notion of modified Black Scholes operator. The paper is organised as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the multifactor stochastic volatility model. Option pricing equation and asymptotic expansion of price is discussed in Section 3 and 4 respectively. Approximate price of European option is given in Section 5 and Section 6 includes the conclusion.

2. Model under consideration

Let X_t be the price of underlying asset (non dividend paying), P^* be the risk neutral probability measure and r be the risk free rate of interest. Under P^*, the dynamics of X_t be given by a diffusion process as:

$$dX_t = rX_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t^x \tag{1}$$

Here,

$$\sigma = f(Y_t, Z_t) \tag{2}$$

is stochastic volatility driven by two factors Y_t and Z_t which are respectively the fast scale and slow scale factors of volatility. W_t^x is standard Brownian motion. We consider the dynamics of fast volatility factor Y_t as given in Fouque et al. (2003a):

$$dY_t = \frac{1}{\epsilon}(m - Y_t)dt + \frac{\nu\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}dW_t^y \tag{3}$$

which is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process with long run distribution $N(m, \nu^2)$ and is reverting on the short time scale ϵ around its long

run mean value m with $1/\epsilon$ as its rate of mean reversion. Its volatility of volatility (vol-vol) parameter is $\frac{\nu\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$. W_t^y is standard Brownian motion.
W_t^x and W_t^y have the correlation structure:

$$E[dW_t^x.dW_t^y] = \rho_{xy}dt$$

Where, ρ_{xy} represents the correlation between two standard Brownian motions. Slow factor of volatility is persistent and empirically it is mean reverting too. But mean reversion can be clearly observed for long term options. We take quadratic arc approximation to capture slow volatility factor given by:

$$Z_t = \mathcal{A}t^2 + \mathcal{B}t + \mathcal{C} + \alpha_t \tag{4}$$

where α_t represents the error term in the approximation of Z_t with $\mathcal{A} \neq 0$. We can justify this approximation of persistent factor of volatility, Z_t from $S\&P500$ historic volatility data given in fig.1



FIGURE 1. Historic volatility of SPX index from Septem -ber 8, 2015 to September 6, 2016, clearly mean reverting about 20%(say)

We are also justifying this choice of approximation by considering slow volatility factor Z_t to follow a diffusion process:

$$dZ_t = k(m^{'} - Z_t)dt + \eta dW_t^z \tag{5}$$

where W_t^z is also a standard Brownian motion having the correlation structure with W_t^x and W_t^y as :

$$E[dW_t^x.dW_t^z] = \rho_{xz}dt$$
$$E[dW_t^y.dW_t^z] = \rho_{yz}dt$$

where the correlation coefficients ρ_{xz} and ρ_{yz} are such that $\rho_{xy}^2 < 1, \rho_{xz}^2 < 1, \rho_{yz}^2 < 1$ and $1 + 2\rho_{xy}\rho_{xz}\rho_{yz} - \rho_{xy}^2 - \rho_{xz}^2 - \rho_{yz}^2 > 0$ for the positive definiteness of the covariance matrix of three Brownian motions. Here, Z_t follows Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU)process with long run distribution $N(m^{'}, \frac{\eta^2}{2k})$ and is reverting on long time scale $1/k$ around its long run mean value $m^{'}$. The rate of mean reversion for Z_t is k and its vol-vol parameter is η.
On solving, (5) becomes:

$$Z_t = \left[\frac{(Z_0 - m^{'})}{2}k^2\right]t^2 + [-k(Z_0 - m^{'})]t + Z_0 + \delta_t + \beta_t \tag{6}$$

comparing it with (4), we obtain

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{(Z_0 - m^{'})}{2}k^2,$$

$$\mathcal{B} = -(Z_0 - m^{'})k,$$

$$\mathcal{C} = Z_0,$$

and

$$\alpha_t = \delta_t + \beta_t$$

Here,Z_0 represents the initial value of slow factor of volatility. To assure that $\mathcal{A} \neq 0$ we assume that $Z_0 \neq m^{'}$. δ_t is the truncation error and β_t involving η, is randomness in the value of slow factor of volatility Z_t.
We are assuming here that the error term α_t is negligible as truncation error can be neglected and vol-vol parameter η of slow factor of volatility can be neglected under parabolic approximation.

The approximated value of Z_t is a function of t. also,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \left(\frac{1}{2\mathcal{A}t + \mathcal{B} + \zeta_t}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} = \frac{1}{(2\mathcal{A}t + \mathcal{B} + \zeta_t)^2}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{2\mathcal{A} + \zeta_t^{'}}{2\mathcal{A}t + \mathcal{B} + \zeta_t}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right] \tag{8}$$

where,

$$\zeta_t = \frac{\partial \alpha_t}{\partial t}$$

.

These expressions will be needed for pricing in the upcoming sections. So in the nutshell, our multifactor stochastic volatility model under risk

neutral probability measure P^*, which is already chosen by the market is:

$$dX_t = rX_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t^x \tag{9}$$

$$dY_t = \frac{1}{\epsilon}(m - Y_t)dt + \frac{\nu\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}dW_t^y$$

$$Z_t = \mathcal{A}t^2 + \mathcal{B}t + \mathcal{C} + \alpha_t$$

where,

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{(Z_0 - m^{'})}{2}k^2,$$

$$\mathcal{B} = -(Z_0 - m^{'})k,$$

$$\mathcal{C} = Z_0$$

which we have obtained by considering diffusion process

$$dZ_t = k(m^{'} - Z_t)dt + \eta dW_t^z$$

for Z_t. Next we'll write the pricing equation for European options.

3. Pricing Equation

The price of European call option with payoff function $h(X_T)$ under the risk neutral probability measure P^* is conditional expectation of discounted payoff given as:

$$P^\epsilon(t,x,y,z) = E^*\{e^{-r(T-t)}h(X_T)|X_t = x, Y_t = y, Z_t = z\}, \tag{10}$$

By Feynman-Kac formula, $P^\epsilon(t,x,y,z)$ satisfies the following parabolic PDE:

$$\mathcal{L}^\epsilon P^\epsilon(t,x,y,z) = 0, \tag{11}$$

with the boundary condition

$$P^\epsilon(T, X_T, Y_T, Z_T) = h(X_T),$$

where the operator $\mathcal{L}^\epsilon$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2, \tag{12}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = (m - y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \nu^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \rho_{xy}\nu\sqrt{2}f(y,z)x\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + \rho_{yz}\nu\sqrt{2}\eta\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial z}, \tag{14}$$

and

(15)

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}f^2(y,z)x^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+r(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-.)+\rho_{xz}\eta f(y,z)x\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial z}+\frac{1}{2}\eta^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}+k(m^{'}-z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

On putting the value of $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$ from (7) and (8) in (14) and (15) and on solving, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \nu\sqrt{2}\left[\rho_{xy}f(y,z)x\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial y}+\frac{\rho_{yz}}{2\mathcal{A}t+\mathcal{B}+\zeta_t}\eta\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y\partial t}\right], \tag{16}$$

and

(17)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_2 =& \left[1+\frac{k(m^{'}-z)}{2\mathcal{A}t+\mathcal{B}+\zeta_t}-\frac{1}{2}\eta^2\frac{2\mathcal{A}+\zeta_t^{'}}{(2\mathcal{A}t+\mathcal{B}+\zeta_t)^3}\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}f^2(y,z)x^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+r(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-.)\\ &+\left(\frac{\rho_{xz}\eta f(y,z)x}{2\mathcal{A}t+\mathcal{B}+\zeta_t}\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\eta^2\frac{1}{(2\mathcal{A}t+\mathcal{B}+\zeta_t)^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\end{aligned}$$

where, $z = Z_t$ is given by (6)
From (11) and (12) we have,

$$(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}_0+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\mathcal{L}_1+\mathcal{L}_2)P^\epsilon(t,x,y,z) = 0 \tag{18}$$

This is the required pricing equation where P^ϵ is the solution of above parabolic PDE.

4. Asymptotic Expansion

For asymptotic expansion, expand P^ϵ in powers of $\sqrt{\epsilon}$, i.e.

$$P^\epsilon = P_0+\sqrt{\epsilon}P_1+\epsilon P_2+... \tag{19}$$

Using it in (18) gives

$$(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}_0+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\mathcal{L}_1+\mathcal{L}_2)(P_0+\sqrt{\epsilon}P_1+\epsilon P_2+...) = 0 \tag{20}$$

Similar expansion is considered in Fouque et al. (2003*a*, 2003*b*) for single factor and for two factors of volatility respectively. We have considered the expansion in powers of $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ only because we have approximated the slow factor of volatility.
Terms of order $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_0P_0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0 = P_0(t,x,z) \tag{21}$$

which is independent of y but depending on z, the slow factor of volatility.
Terms of order $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 P_0 + \mathcal{L}_0 P_1 = 0$$

as P_0 is independent of y

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 P_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P_1 = P_1(t, x, z) \tag{22}$$

which is again independent of y but depending on z, the slow factor of volatility.
Terms of order 1:

$$\mathcal{L}_2 P_0 + \mathcal{L}_1 P_1 + \mathcal{L}_0 P_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 P_2 + \mathcal{L}_2 P_0 = 0 \tag{23}$$

$\because P_1$ is independent of y and it is Poisson equation in P_2 with respect to y with Fredholm solvability condition:

$$E_y[\mathcal{L}_2 P_0] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_y[\mathcal{L}_2] P_0 = 0 \tag{24}$$

where, $E_y[\mathcal{L}_2]$ is the average of $\mathcal{L}_2$ w.r.t y. For simplification, we neglect the error term involving truncation and randomness in (6) assuming $\delta_t \to 0$ and vol-vol parameter of $Z_t, \eta \approx 0$. Therefore, (17) is reduced to

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \left[1 + \frac{1 - kt + \frac{k^2 t^2}{2}}{1 - kt}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} f^2(y, z) x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + r(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - .)$$

take,

$$\frac{1 - kt + \frac{k^2 t^2}{2}}{1 - kt} = \gamma$$

with $kt \neq 1$ and $\gamma \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 = [1 + \gamma] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} f^2(y, z) x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + r(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - .) \tag{25}$$

and,

$$E_y[\mathcal{L}_2] = [1+\gamma]\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\sigma}^2(z)x^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + r(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - .) \tag{26}$$

where,

$$\overline{\sigma}(z) = E_y[f(y,z)]$$

which is a function of slow factor of volatility. We call $E_y[\mathcal{L}_2]$ as $\gamma-$ modified Black-Scholes operator with volatility $\overline{\sigma}(z)$. So, in (24), P_0 is modified Black-Scholes price. After some calculation, expression of P_0 in terms of Black-Scholes price is given as:

$$P_0(t,x,z) = \frac{|kt-2|^{\frac{a-2r}{k}} e^{\frac{2r-a}{k(|kt-2|)}}}{e^{\frac{(2r-a)t}{2}}} Q_0(t,x,\overline{\sigma}(z)) \tag{27}$$

where, Q_0 is classical Black-Schole price and m is the constant s.t. $a \neq 2r$ for $0 \leq t < T$. Equality holds at maturity to satisfy the boundary condition

$$P_0(T, X_T, Z_T) = h(X_T)$$

To approximate the value of a, we consider the numerical value of $(kt-2)$ which can be calculated from options data. We consider S&P 500 index option data with option starting from January $4, 2016$ maturing on June $30, 2016$. For different moneyness,we got $a \approx 0.05$
Also, from (23), $\mathcal{L}_0 P_2 = -\mathcal{L}_2 P_0$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 P_2 = -[\mathcal{L}_2 P_0 - E_y[\mathcal{L}_2]P_0]$$

$$\Rightarrow P_2 = -\mathcal{L}_0^{-1}[\mathcal{L}_2 - E_y[\mathcal{L}_2]]P_0 \tag{28}$$

Terms of order $\sqrt{\epsilon}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 P_3 + \mathcal{L}_2 P_1 + \mathcal{L}_1 P_2 = 0$$

which is Poisson equation in P_3 with respect to y with Fredholm solvability condition:

$$E_y[\mathcal{L}_2 P_1 + \mathcal{L}_1 P_2] = 0$$

Considering P_2 from (28) and after solving, we get

$$E_y[\mathcal{L}_2]P_1 = \mathcal{G}P_0 \tag{29}$$

where,

$$\mathcal{G} = E_y[\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_0^{-1}[\mathcal{L}_2 - E_y[\mathcal{L}_2]]]$$

On solving,

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{\nu\rho_{xy}}{\sqrt{2}} E_y[f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}]x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \tag{30}$$

For first order approximation, we need the expression for P_1 along with P_0. With some simplification, one can easily verify that the term

$$P_1(t,x,z) = 2\left[\frac{1}{k}\log\left(\frac{kT-2}{kt-2}\right) + \frac{T-t}{(kT-2)(kt-2)}\right]\mathcal{G}P_0 \tag{31}$$

is the unique solution of (29) with boundary condition

$$P_1(T, X_T, Z_T) = 0$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is given by (30).

5. Approximate Option Price

From the above calculation we get the First order approximation of option price as

$$P^\epsilon \approx \hat{P}^\epsilon = P_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon}P_1$$

i.e.

$$P^\epsilon \approx \hat{P}^\epsilon = P_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon}\left(2\left[\frac{1}{k}\log\left(\frac{kT-2}{kt-2}\right) + \frac{T-t}{(kT-2)(kt-2)}\right]\mathcal{G}\right)P_0 \tag{32}$$

where P_0 is given by (27)
Now, (32) can be written as:

$$P^\epsilon \approx (1+g)\left[Q_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon}\left(2\left[\frac{1}{k}\log\left(\frac{kT-2}{kt-2}\right) + \frac{T-t}{(kT-2)(kt-2)}\right]VD_1D_2\right)Q_0\right] \tag{33}$$

Here,

$$V = \frac{\nu\rho_{xy}}{\sqrt{2}} E_y[f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}] \tag{34}$$

and ϕ is the solution of

$$\mathcal{L}_0\phi_{y,z} = f^2(y,z) - \overline{\sigma}^2(z) \tag{35}$$

$\mathcal{L}_0$ is given by (13).
Equation (33) gives the required first order approximation of option price P^ϵ where,

$$1 + g = \frac{|kt-2|^{\frac{a-2r}{k}} e^{\frac{2r-a}{k(|kt-2|)}}}{e^{\frac{(2r-a)t}{2}}} \tag{36}$$

such that g converges to zero at maturity.
We name $1+g$ as modification factor. We are interested in its value.

Numerically, if we take $a = 0.05, r = 0.0264, k = 0.008$, for $t = 0, 0.25$ and 0.5, we get $1 + g \approx 0.934$. It will significantly improve the pricing. We have used the market data to obtain these parameters.

The accuracy of this first order approximation and calibration of effective stochastic volatility parameter V can be done as already explained in Fouque et al. (2003).

6. Conclusion

Slow factor of volatility is persistent and its dynamics can't be ignored. No doubt it is stochastic, but approximating it with a deterministic arc makes the calculation easy and give a simplified expression for the price of European call option. This price is perturbed around the modified Black-Scholes price. We have also given the expression of modified price in terms of Black-Scholes price and have calculated the modification factor using S&P 500 index options data.

References

[1] Alizadeh,S., M.Brandt and F.Diebold(Range Based Estimation in Stochastic Volatility) *Journal of Finance* Vol.57, 1047 – 1091, 2002.

[2] Bakshi,G., C.Cao and Z.Chen(Empirical Performance of Alternative Option Pricing Models) *The Journal of Finance* Vol.52, No.5, 2003 – 2049, 1997.

[3] Ball,C.A. and A.Roma(Stochastic Volatility Option Pricing) *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* Vol.29, 589 – 607, 1994.

[4] Bates,D.S.(Post-'87 Crash Fears in the S&P500 Futures Option Market) *Journal of Econometrics* Vol.94, 2003 – 2049, 1997.

[5] Christoffersen,P., S.Heston and K.Jacobs(The Shape and Term Structure of Index Option Smirk: Why Multifactor Stochastic Volatility Models Work So Well) *Management Science* Vol.55, 1914 – 1932, 2009.

[6] Chernov, M. and E.Ghysels(A Study towards a Unified Approach to the Joint Estimation of Objective and Risk Neutral Measures for the Purpose of Option Valuation) *Management Science* Vol.56, No.3, 407 – 458, 2000.

[7] Engle, R.F. and A.J.Patton (What good is a volatility model) *Quantitative Finance* Vol.1, 237 – 245, 2001.

[8] Fouque,J.P., G.Papnicolau, K.R.Sircar and K.Solna(Multiscale Stochastic Volatility Asymptotics) *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation* Vol.2, No.1, 22 – 42, 2003a.

[9] Fouque,J.P., G.Papnicolau, K.R.Sircar and K.Solna(Singular Perturbations in Option Pricing) *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* Vol.63, No.5, 1648 – 1665, 2003b.

[10] Fouque,J.P., G.Papnicolau, K.R.Sircar and K.Solna(Multiscale Stochastic Volatility for Equity, Interest rate and Credit Derivatives) *Cambridge University Press*, 2011.

[11] Heston,S.(A Closed-Form Solution for Options with Stochastic Volatility with Applications to Bond and Currency Options) *The Review of Financial Studies* Vol.6, Issue 2, 327 – 343 , 1993

[12] Hull,J., and A.White(The Pricing of Options on Assets with Stochastic Volatility) *Journal of Finance* Vol.42, 281 – 300, 1987.

[13] J.Gatheral(The Volatility Surface: A Practitioner's Guide) *Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.*, 2006.

[14] Stein,E.M. and J.C.Stein(Stock Price Distributions with Stochastic Volatility: An Analytic Approach) *The Review of Financial Studies* Vol.4, No.4, 727 – 752, 1991.

E-mail address: giftymalhotra@dtu.ac.in

E-mail address: rsrivastava@dce.ac.in

E-mail address: hctaneja@dce.ac.in

Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University, Delhi(India)-110042